arXiv:1509.09139v1 [math.CV] 30 Sep 2015

A SHORT PROOF OF THE DIMENSION CONJECTURE FOR REAL HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{C}^2$

ALEXANDER ISAEV AND BORIS KRUGLIKOV

Abstract. Recently, I. Kossovskiy and R. Shafikov have settled the so-called Dimension Conjecture, which characterizes spherical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ via the dimension of the algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms. In this note, we propose a short argument for obtaining their result.

1. Introduction

Let M be a 3-dimensional connected real-analytic CR-manifold of hypersurface type. We only consider M locally, and therefore one can assume that M is embedded in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with the CR-structure induced by the complex structure of the ambient space. Recall that an infinitesimal CR-automorphism of M is a smooth vector field on M whose flow consists of CR-transformations. For $p \in M$, denote by $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ the Lie algebra of real-analytic infinitesimal CR-automorphisms of M defined in a neighborhood of p on M, with the neighborhood *a priori* depending on the vector field. It is not hard to show that every element of $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ is the real part of a holomorphic vector field defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

If M is Levi-flat, i.e., its Levi form identically vanishes, then M is locally CR-equivalent to the direct product $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}\subset\mathbb{C}^2$, hence in this case $\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)=\infty$ for all $p\in M$. On the other hand, if M is Levi nondegenerate at some point, then for every $p\in M$ one has $\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)<\infty$ (see [BER, Theorem 11.5.1 and Corollary 12.5.5]). If, furthermore, M is spherical at p, i.e., CR-equivalent to an open subset of the sphere $S^3\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ in a neighborhood of p, then $\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)=8$. Indeed, for every $q\in S^3$ the algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(S^3,q)$ consists of globally defined vector fields and is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ (see [P], [C] as well as [CM], [Ta], [Sa, pp. 211–219], [B2] for generalizations to higher CR-dimensions and CR-codimensions). Further, the reduction of 3-dimensional Levi nondegenerate CR-structures to absolute parallelisms obtained by É. Cartan in [C] implies that 8 is the maximal possible value of $\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ provided the Levi form of M at p does not vanish. Moreover, as noted in [C, part I, p. 34], results of A. Tresse in [Tr] (see also [K], [KT]) yield that for such a point p the condition $\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)>3$ forces M to be spherical at p.

This note concerns the following conjecture, in which Levi nondegeneracy is no longer assumed:

Conjecture 1.1. *If M is not Levi-flat, then for any $p\in M$ the condition*

$$\dim\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)>5 \tag{1.1}$$

implies that M is spherical at p.

In the above form, the conjecture was formulated in article [KS] where the authors called it the Dimension Conjecture and argued that it can be viewed as a variant of Poincarés *problème local*. This statement is also a refined version, in the case $n=2$,

Mathematics Subject Classification: 32C05, 32V40
Keywords: real hypersurfaces in complex space, Lie algebras of infinitesimal automorphisms

of another conjecture, due to V. Beloshapka, proposed in [B3] for real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with any $n \geq 2$, which so far has only been resolved for $n \leq 3$ (see [IZ]).

In [KS], the authors proved:

THEOREM 1.2. *Conjecture 1.1 holds true.*

The method of [KS] is rather involved and based on considering second-order complex ODEs with meromorphic singularity. The aim of the present paper is to provide a short proof of Theorem 1.2 by using standard facts on Lie algebras and their actions. Before proceeding, we state the following:

Corollary 1.3. *The possible dimensions of* $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ *are* 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, ∞, *and each of these possibilities is realizable.*

Acknowledgements. We thank B. Lamel for useful discussions and V. Beloshapka for communicating to us an example of a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = 1$, for which he kindly performed `Maple`-assisted computations. The first author is supported by the Australian Research Council.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Suppose that M is not Levi-flat. Then the set $\mathcal{S}$ of points of Levi nondegeneracy is dense in M. Fix $p \in M$ with $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) > 5$ and consider the algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$. If $p \in \mathcal{S}$, then, as stated in the introduction, the sphericity of M at p follows from classical results in [C], [Tr].

Assume now that $p \notin \mathcal{S}$. As $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) < \infty$, there exists a neighborhood U of p in M where all vector fields in $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ are defined. Therefore, for every $p' \in U \cap \mathcal{S}$, the algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p')$. Arguing as above, we then see that M is spherical at p'. Hence, $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ can be identified with a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$. It is not hard to show that $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ has no subalgebras of dimensions 6 and 7. This is a consequence, for instance, of the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [EaI], but for the reader's convenience we give a different argument here. Indeed, by [M], a maximal proper subalgebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra is either parabolic, or semi-simple or the stabilizer of a pseudo-torus. Therefore, all maximal subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ up to conjugation are described as follows: (i) one parabolic subalgebra, of dimension 5; (ii) one semi-simple subalgebra, namely $\mathfrak{so}_{2,1}$, of dimension 3; (iii) two pseudotoric subalgebras, namely $\mathfrak{u}_2$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{1,1}$, both of dimension 4. In particular, $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ has no subalgebras of dimension 6 and 7 as claimed.

Thus, we have $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = \mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$. Consider the isotropy subalgebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) \subset \mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$, which consists of all vector fields in $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$ vanishing at p. Clearly, $\dim \mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) \geq 5$, and we obtain, again by the nonexistence of codimension one and two subalgebras in $\mathfrak{su}(2,1)$, that either $\dim \mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) = 5$ or $\dim \mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) = 8$. In the former case, it follows that the orbit of p under the corresponding local action of $SU(2,1)$ is open. Since M is spherical at every point $p' \in U \cap \mathcal{S}$, we then see that M is spherical at p as required.

Suppose now that $\dim \mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) = 8$, i.e., $\mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p) = \mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$. As shown in [GS] (see pp. 113–115 therein), an action of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by real-analytic vector fields on a real-analytic manifold X can be linearized near a fixed point x, i.e., there exist local coordinates in a neighborhood of x on X in which all vector fields arising from $\mathfrak{g}$ are linear. It then follows that $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ has a nontrivial real 3-dimensional representation. On the other hand, it is easy to see that no such representation exists. Indeed, assuming the contrary and complexifying, we obtain a complex 3-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Up to isomorphism, this is the standard (defining) representation, hence the standard action of $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^3$ must have an invariant totally real 3-dimensional subspace, and it is straightforward to

verify that no such subspace in fact exists. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 2.1. The argument contained in the last paragraph of the above proof provides a short way of answering the question asked in the title of article [B4].

Next, to prove Corollary 1.3, we only need to observe that each of the integers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 is realizable as $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p)$. The realizability of 0, 2, 3, 4, 5 follows from the examples given in [B4, p. 143], [KL, Table 1], [St], so it only remains to find an example with $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = 1$. Consider the hypersurface Γ_1 given in coordinates z, w in $\mathbb{C}^2$ by the equation[1]

$$\operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} z^2)|z|^2.$$

By Theorem 3 of [B1], the stability group of Γ_1 at the origin consists only of the transformations $z \mapsto \pm z, w \mapsto w$, hence $\mathfrak{hol}_0(\Gamma_1, 0) = 0$. One can further show (e.g., by `Maple`-assisted computations) that $\mathfrak{hol}(\Gamma_1, 0)$ is spanned by the vector field $\partial/\partial w + \partial/\partial \overline{w}$. Another example is given by the hypersurface Γ_2 defined as

$$\operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} w)|z|^8.$$

In this case, the stability group at the origin consists of all rotations in z (see, e.g., [EzI, p. 1159]), and one can further show that every element of $\mathfrak{hol}(\Gamma_2, 0)$ vanishes at the origin. Hence, $\mathfrak{hol}(\Gamma_2, 0)$ is spanned by $iz\partial/\partial z - i\overline{z}\partial/\partial \overline{z}$. One can produce many more examples of this kind by considering hypersurfaces of the form $\operatorname{Im} w = f(|z|^2, \operatorname{Re} w)$, where f is real-analytic and in general position. □

Remark 2.2. As we noted in the proof of Theorem 1.2, $\mathfrak{su}_{2,1}$ has only one, up to conjugation, 5-dimensional subalgebra (which is parabolic), and this is exactly the subalgebra that occurs in the examples with $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = 5$ given in [B4], [KL]. In all these cases, one has $\mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = \mathfrak{hol}_0(M,p)$. Explicitly classifying the manifolds with $\dim \mathfrak{hol}(M,p) = 5$ requires a much greater effort, and article [KS] makes progress in this direction by showing that every such manifold has to be a "sphere blowup" (as defined above the statement of Theorem 3.10 therein).

References

[BER] Baouendi, M. S., Ebenfelt, P. and Rothschild, L. P., *Real Submanifolds in Complex Space and Their Mappings*, Princeton Mathematical Series 47, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.

[B1] Beloshapka, V. K., On the dimension of the group of automorphisms of an analytic hypersurface, *Math. USSR, Izv.* **14** (1980), 223–245.

[B2] Beloshapka, V. K., On holomorphic transformations of a quadric, *Math. USSR. Sb.* **72** (1992), 189–205.

[B3] Beloshapka, V. K., Real submanifolds in complex space: polynomial models, automorphisms, and classification problems, *Russian Math. Surveys* **57** (2002), 1–41.

[B4] Beloshapka, V. K., Can a stabilizer be eight-dimensional?, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **19** (2012), 135–145.

[C] Cartan, É., Sur la géometrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes: I, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **11** (1932), 17–90; II, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **1** (1932), 333–354.

[CM] Chern, S. S. and Moser, J. K., Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, *Acta Math.* **133** (1974), 219–271; erratum, *Acta Math.* **150** (1983), 297.

[EaI] Eastwood, M. G. and Isaev, A. V., Examples of unbounded homogeneous domains in complex space, Proceedings of the International Conference on Several Complex Variables and Complex Geometry, Beijing, 22–28 August 2004; *Science in China Series A Math.* **48** (2005), no 1. suppl., 248–261.

[EzI] Ezhov, V. V. and Isaev, A. V., On the dimension of the stability group for a Levi nondegenerate hypersurface, *Illinois J. Math.* **49** (2005), 1155–1169; erratum, *Illinois J. Math.* **51** (2007), 1035–1036.

[1] This example was communicated to us by V. Beloshapka.

[GS] Guillemin, V. W. and Sternberg, S., Remarks on a paper of Hermann, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (1968), 110–116.

[IZ] Isaev, A. V. and Zaitsev, D., Reduction of five-dimensional uniformly Levi degenerate CR structures to absolute parallelisms, *J. Geom. Analysis* **23** (2013), 1571–1605.

[KL] Kolář, M. and Lamel, B., Holomorphic equivalence and nonlinear symmetries of ruled hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$, *J. Geom. Anal.* **25** (2015), 1240–1281.

[KS] Kossovskiy, I. and Shafikov, R., Analytic differential equations and spherical real hypersurfaces, to appear in *J. Diff. Geom.*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.4724`.

[K] Kruglikov B., Point classification of second order ODEs: Tresse classification revisited and beyond, In: *Differential Equations: Geometry, Symmetries and Integrability*, Abel Symp. 5, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 199–221.

[KT] Kruglikov, B. and The, D., The gap phenomenon in parabolic geometries, to appear in *J. reine angew. Math.*, DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2014-0072, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.1307`.

[M] Mostow, G. D., On maximal subgroups of real Lie groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **74** (1961), 503–517.

[P] Poincaré, H., Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* **23** (1907), 185–220.

[Sa] Satake, I., *Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains*, Kanô Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[St] Stanton, N., Real hypersurfaces with no infinitesimal CR automorphisms, In: *Analysis, Geometry, Number Theory: the Mathematics of Leon Ehrenpreis (Philadelphia, PA, 1998)*; Contemp. Math. 251, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 469–473.

[Ta] Tanaka, N., On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections, *Japan. J. Math.* **2** (1976), 131–190.

[Tr] Tresse, A., *Détermination des Invariants Ponctuels de L'équation Différentielle Ordinaire du Second Ordre* $y'' = \omega(x, y, y')$, Preisschr. Fürstlich Jablon. Ges., Leipzig, Hirzel, 1896.

(Isaev) Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Acton, ACT 2601, Australia

E-mail address: `alexander.isaev@anu.edu.au`

(Kruglikov) Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tromsø, Tromsø 90-37, Norway

E-mail address: `boris.kruglikov@uit.no`